**Ethics Review Assessment Form**

**Protocol MRECID:**

**Date of MREC Board Meeting:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No** | **Assessment Criteria** | **Acceptable** | **Not acceptable** | **Not applicable** | **Comments** |
| **Investigator’s details** |
| 1 | **Competency of investigators** (Do the investigators have the necessary experience and skills to conduct the study?) |  |  |  |  |
| **Project information** |
| 2 | **Purpose of the study** (Are the objectives and outcomes clear?) |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | **Justification** (Does this study answer an important question? Have similar studies been done before?) |  |  |  |  |
| **Methodology** |
| 4 | **Scientific rigour** (Is the study design appropriate?) |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | **Vulnerable population** (Does the study involve vulnerable subjects? Are steps being taken to ensure they are not being disadvantaged?) |  |  |  |  |
| **Ethical issues** |
| 6 | **Benefits** (Are there benefits to the subjects?) |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | **Risks** (Are there risks to the subjects? Are actions taken to minimise them?) |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | **Confidentiality** (Are the participants’ information anonymised? Are the data kept securely?) |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | **Informed consent** (Are the language and content of the PIS and informed consent form appropriate? Does the informed consent form state that the participation is voluntary? Is the procedure in obtaining informed consent appropriate?) |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | **Compensation** (Are the subjects compensated appropriately?) |  |  |  |  |

**Decision:** Approve / To modify / Reject

**Name of Chairman:**  **Signature:**

**Ethics Review Checklist**

**Investigator’s details**

1. **Competency of investigators** (Do the investigators have the necessary experience and skills to conduct the study?)

**Project information**

1. **Purpose of the study** (Are the objectives and outcomes clear?)
2. **Justification** (Does this study answer an important question? Have similar studies been done before?)

**Methodology**

1. **Scientific rigour** (Is the study design appropriate?)
2. **Vulnerable population** (Does the study involve vulnerable subjects? Are steps being taken to ensure they are not being disadvantaged?)

**Ethical issues**

1. **Benefits** (Are there benefits to the subjects?)
2. **Risks** (Are there risks to the subjects? Are actions taken to minimise them?)
3. **Confidentiality** (Are the participants’ information anonymised? Are the data kept securely?)
4. **Informed** **consent** (Are the language and content of the PIS and informed consent form appropriate? Does the informed consent form state that the participation is voluntary? Is the procedure in obtaining informed consent appropriate?)
5. **Compensation** (Are the subjects compensated appropriately?)